Capital Projects
Municipal Stimulus Program Analysis

Council Presentation, Sept 1, 2020
MSP Overview

Funding is distributed based on the Gas Tax Fund (GTF) allocation formula (value of $2,465,000 in new funding for Chestermere).

Project eligibility based on MSI guidelines, modified to exclude categories and activities with minimal contribution to economic recovery.

Minister retains authority to approve projects.

Municipalities will be required to report on progress in reducing municipal red tape.
MSP Objectives

1. Sustain and create local jobs.

2. Enhance provincial competitiveness and productivity.

3. Position communities to participate in future economic growth.

4. Reduce municipal red-tape to promote job-creating private sector investment.
MSP Project Red Tape Criteria

Municipalities must commit to submitting an annual Red Tape Reduction report for each of 2020 and 2021.

• What steps have been taken to make it easier to start up a new business in the municipality?

• What steps have been taken to streamline processes and shorten timelines for development and permit approvals?

• What steps have been taken to make the municipality a more attractive destination for new investment and/or tourism?
1. MSP Screening Criteria  (yes/no)

1. Projects must result in the construction or major rehabilitation of a capital asset and be under construction by Dec, 2021. Rolling stock, equipment purchases, and infrastructure management systems are not be eligible, as they do not support economic development or job creation.

2. Projects must not create new operational funding requirements for the Province and must not, as a result of the projects, lead to higher municipal taxes.

3. Projects previously approved or submitted for MSI or GTF funding are not eligible.

4. Proponent must attest that the project would not go ahead this year or next year in the absence of support through the stimulus program.
2. Internal City Criteria (yes/no)

1. Is the project already part of our capital budget but we have not yet applied for project funding?

   - Yes
   - No

2. Based on the capital budget funding sources, does the City fully benefit financially from the MSP application? (e.g. MSP monies received allows us to re-direct MSI/GTF to other worthy projects)

   - High
   - Med
   - Low
3. Internal City Criteria

1. How did we score the project in terms of impact as part of our capital budgeting process (risk indicators: injury, service interruption, environmental, financial, reputational)?

2. Do we have the internal project oversight resources to expedite these projects?

High, Medium, Low
## List of Eligible Projects (all projects are currently funded)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Benefit</th>
<th>City Risk Score</th>
<th>Funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 High priority stormwater system upgrades $2,040,000</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>100% Utility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Copper service management program $650,600</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>100% Utility</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 3 Road Upgrades  
  - Rainbow Road overlay $300,000  
  - Lake Ere Estates pavement rehab $263,000 | High, High | 5.2, 3.8 | 100% GTF, 100% MSI |
| 4 Pathways  
  - Altalink segment (Rainbow Road segment) $344,500  
  - East Chestermere Dr pathway (241 a to Merganser E) $818,100 | Med, Low | 4.8, 4.2 | 100% GTF, 100% MSI |
| 5 Rainbow Road Bridge $,2,815,300 | Low | 8.6 | 50% OSL, 50% Debt |
| 6 H2S System Upgrade $1,500,000 | Low | 13.8 | 100% OSL |
# Suggested Options for MSP Funding

(Value of $2,465,000 and up to a max of 5 projects)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>$ Benefit</th>
<th>City Risk Score</th>
<th>Funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Project 1: High priority stormwater system upgrades  Total: $907,500</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>100% Utility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rationale: This is a utility project that had to be spread over 10 years but will benefit the community and create local jobs. Meets intent of all MSP criteria (especially the fact that the project would not have gone ahead otherwise).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Project 2: Copper service management program: Total: $650,000</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>100% Utility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rationale: Same as above.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Project 3: Road and Pathway Projects  Total: $907,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Rainbow Road overlay  $300,000</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>100% GTF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Lake Ere Estates pavement rehab $263,000</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>100% MSI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Altalink segment (Rainbow Road segment) $344,500</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>100% GTF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rationale: These constructions projects can be done immediately; they will create local jobs and benefit the community. Funds can be re-directed to other priority projects immediately.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>